TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Γable of Authorities	i
FACTS	2
ARGUMENT	6
I. COUNT I OF THE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE	
DISMISSED BECAUSE INGRAM PERIODICALS	
DID NOT USE THE MARK IN COMMERCE AFTER	
THE REGISTRATION DATE	7
II. COUNT II OF THE COMPLAINT ALLEGING	
INFRINGEMENT OF UNREGISTERED MARK	
MUST BE DISMISSED	. 9
III. COUNT IV AND COUNT V OF PLAINTIFF'S	
COMPLAINT ALLEGING VIOLATION OF NEW	
YORK'S ANTI-DILUTION STATUTE MUST	
BE DISMISSED	16
CONCLUSION	22